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In this paper we consider the problem of expressing a set of displacement components satisfying the homogeneous equations of elasticity in terms of harmonic functions [1-9].

The following definition of a "general" solution of the homogeneous equations of elasticity appears in [6]:

Definition 1. A solution of the equations of elasticity in terms of harmonic functions is said to be a general solution in a region $D$ if for every set of displacement components satisfying the homogeneous equations of elasticity, for every closed region $D^{\prime}$ contained in the interior of $D$ and for every $\epsilon>0$ there exist functions $\phi_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi_{2}{ }^{*}, \phi_{3}{ }^{*}$ (appearing in the given solution) harmonic in $D$ such that the displacement components determined by $\phi_{1} *, \phi_{2} *, \phi_{3}{ }^{*}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\left|u-u^{*}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad\left|v-v^{*}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad\left|w \cdots w^{*}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

in $D$.
In other words, a given solution of the homogeneous equations of elasticity is a general solution in a region $D$, if it contains a sequence of functions $\phi_{1 n^{*}}{ }^{*} \phi_{2 n^{*}}{ }^{*} \phi_{3 n}{ }^{*}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ harmonic in $D$ such that the sequence of corresponding displacement components $u_{n}{ }^{*}, v_{n}{ }^{*}, w_{n}{ }^{*}$ converges uniformly to the displacements $u, v, w$ in $D$. This definition is of interest because such general solutions and well-known expansions of harmonic functions can be applied to special problems of the theory of elasticity.

Such general solutions can also be used to find approximate solutions for problems of elasticity (e.g. the variational method of Trefftz) and to determine the error of the approximate solutions [10-14].

It may of course happen that, for instance, the sequence $\psi_{1 n} *$ converges
(as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) to a function $\phi_{1}$ which is not harmonic everywhere in $D$ and that $\phi_{1}=\lim \phi_{1 n}{ }^{*}$ may have singularities on certain curves in $D$. However. if the solution is a general one, the displacement components $u_{n}{ }^{*}, v_{n}{ }^{*}$, $w_{n}$ * and their derivatives converge uniformly to the displacements $u, v$, $w$ and their derivatives.

It is appropriate at this point to introduce in addition the definition of a "complete" solution of the homogeneous equations of elasticity".

Definition 2. A solution of the homogeneous equations of elasticity is called a complete solution in a region $D$, if every set of displacement components satisfying these equations in $D$ can be expressed in terms of functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots$ harmonic everywhere in $D$ which appear in the given solution. In other words, a given solution of the homogeneous equations of elasticity is a complete solution in $D$, if the harmonic functions $\phi_{1 n^{*}}{ }^{*}, \phi_{2 n}{ }^{*}, \phi_{3 n}{ }^{*}$ mentioned in the paragraph following Definition 1 converge uniformly to functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ harmonic everywhere in $D$. It is clear that a complete solution in a region $D$ is also a general solution in the same region.

However, the converse is not true: a solution may be a general solution in $D$ without being a complete solution. The concept of general solution is applicable to a wider class of regions than is the concept of complete solution.

We note that the author has proved in [6] that, with certain restrictions on the choice of the origin of coordinates and in some cases on Poisson's ratio, a whole series of solutions of the equations of elasticity, containing three harmonic functions, are general solutions for simply and doubly connected regions and has derived a general solution for multiply connected regions. These results are based on Definitiol 1 and an important theorem which states that a function harmonic in a simply connected region $D_{1}$ can be expanded in a uniformly convergent series of harmonic polynomials [15-19], if certain general restrictions are imposed on the surface $S_{1}$ which bounds $D_{1}$.

The above expansion theorem was proved for harmonic functions of two variables in the well-known papers of Runge and Walsh; and for harmonic functions of three variables, with varying restrictions of a general nature on the bounding surface $S_{1}$ of the region $D_{1}$ and on the harmonic

[^0]function on $S_{1}$, in the papers of Bergman [15]. Szego [16]. Keldysh and Lavrent'ev [17] and Vekua [18]. Vekua [18] proved the theorem on the assumption that $S_{1}$ is a Liapunov surface. If a harmonic function is continuous in the closed region $D_{1}+S_{1}$, it can be approximated uniformly by harmonic polynomials in $D_{1}+S_{1}$.

In this paper we shall show for which regions some well-known solutions (Papkovich [2]. Grodskii [3], Neuber [5], etc.) containing three harmonic functions are incomplete (they are, however, general solutions in some of these regions) and for which regions these solutions are not general solutions (and are therefore not complete).

Our results generalize certain theorems proved in [1-7].

1. Neuber's solution. Neuber's solution [5] which contains three harmonic functions, can be obtained from Papkovich-Neuber's solution [2-5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{B}-v^{-\mathbf{1}} \operatorname{grad}\left(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{B}+\varphi_{0}\right), \quad v=4(1-\sigma)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{i} \varphi_{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{j} \varphi_{2}+\mathbf{k} \varphi_{3}, \quad \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{i} x+\mathbf{j} y+\mathbf{k} z
\end{align*}
$$

(where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ are functions, harmonic in a region $D ; x, y, z$ are the coordinates of points in $D$; $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are unit vectors and $\sigma$ is Poisson's ratio) by eliminating the function $\phi_{3}$; that is, Neuber's solution is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u=\varphi_{1}-v^{-1} \partial F / \partial x, & v=\varphi_{2}-v^{-1} \partial F / \partial y \\
F=x \varphi_{1}+y \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{0} & w=-v^{-1} \partial F / \partial z \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

The following theorem is proved in [6]:
Theorem 1. In order that a set of displacement components $u, v, w$ satisfying the homogeneous equations of elasticity in a region $D$ be representable in the form (1.2), where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{0}$ are functions harmonic everywhere in $D$, it is necessary and sufficient that for every harmonic function $\phi_{3}$ there exist a function $\psi_{3}$ harmonic in $D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial z}=\varphi_{3}, \quad \bar{\nabla}^{2} \psi_{3}=\nabla^{2} \varphi_{3}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem clearly gives a necessary and sufficient condition that Neuber's solution be complete. For instance, let $\Omega^{\infty}$ be the unbounded region exterior to a sphere (see [6], 5) and let $D^{\infty}$ be the region exterior to a closed surface $S$. Then if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{3}=\sum_{m=1,2, \ldots}\left(c_{m}+c_{m}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial x^{m}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the distance from the center of the sphere to points of the region and $c{ }^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$. are constants, there is no harmonic function $\psi_{3}$ satisfying (1.3) in $\Omega^{\infty}$ or $D^{\infty}$. It is easily shown in the same way that there is no harmonic function $1 / 3$ satisfying (1.3) in the doubly connected region $\Omega_{2}$ included between two concentric spheres if $\phi_{3}$ is again given by (1.4).

Moreover, a much stronger theorem (important from the point of view of general solutions) holds for the regions $\Omega^{\infty}$ and $\Omega_{2}$.

Theorem 2. It is possible to construct a function $\phi_{3}$ harmonic in $\Omega^{\infty}$ or $\Omega_{2}$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial \psi_{3} / \partial z-\varphi_{3}\right|>\varepsilon_{0} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for sufficiently small $\epsilon_{0}$ in some subregions of $\Omega^{\infty}$ or $\Omega_{2}$ for all functions $\psi_{3}$ harmonic in these regions.

Proof. Let $\Omega_{2}$ be the region included between two concentric spheres of radii $r_{1}$ and $r_{0}$.

Let the center $O$ of the spheres be the origin of coordinates and let $\phi_{3}=1 / r\left(r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)$, a function harmonic* in $\Omega_{2}$ as well as in $\Omega^{\infty}$.

It is clear that for this choice of $\phi_{3}$ it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $\psi_{3}$, a function symmetric relative to the $z$-axis.

It is well-known that every function harmonic in $\Omega_{2}$ and symmetric relative to the $z$-axis can be expanded in a uniformly convergent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{3}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[a_{k} r^{k}+b_{k} r^{-(k+1)}\right] P_{k}(\cos \theta) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interior of $\Omega_{2}$ (that is, for $r_{1}<r<r_{0}$ ); where $r_{1} \theta$ are spherical coordinates and $P_{k}(\cos \theta)$ is a Legendre polynomial. The series (1.6) can be differentiated term by term, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi_{s}}{\partial z}=a_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(k+1) a_{k+1} r^{k}-k b_{k-1} r^{-(k+1)}\right] P_{k}(\cos \theta) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, using the orthogonality of spherical functions, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(r)=\int_{S^{\prime}} \int^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial z}-\varphi_{s}\right) d S^{\prime}=\int_{S^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial z}-\frac{1}{r}\right) d S^{\prime}=4 \pi r^{2}\left[a_{1}-\frac{1}{r}\right] \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{\prime}$ is the sphere of radius $r$ and center 0 .

[^1]It is not hard to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{r_{1} \leq r \leq r_{0}} \max \delta(r)>\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}=2 \pi r_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{0}}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary choice of the coefficient $a_{1}$ of (1.7), since

$$
\min _{r_{1} \leq r \leq r_{0}}\left(a_{1}-\frac{1}{r}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{0}}\right)
$$

which is assumed for

$$
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{0}}\right)
$$

It now follows from (1.8) and (1.9) that the integrand of (1.8) cannot be less than $\epsilon_{0}=\epsilon_{0} / 4 \pi r_{0}^{2}$ in all of $\Omega_{2}$, that is,

$$
\min _{r_{1}<r<r_{2}} \max \left|\frac{\partial \psi_{z}}{\partial z}-\frac{1}{r}\right|>\varepsilon_{0}
$$

in some subregion of $\Omega_{2}$.
For the unbounded region $\Omega^{\infty}$ it is necessary to put $a_{1}=0$ in (1.5)(1.8). Then $\delta(r)=-4 \pi r \neq 0$ for all $r$, and (1.5) follows.

The proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 1.
Theorem 3. In order that Neuber's solution be a general solution in a region $D$, it is necessary and sufficient that in an arbitrary closed region $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ there exist harmonic functions $\phi_{3}{ }^{*}$ and $\psi_{3}{ }^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi_{3^{*}}}{\partial z}=\varphi_{3^{*}}^{*}, \quad\left|\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{3^{*}}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{grad}\left|\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{3^{*}}\right|<\varepsilon \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is arbitrarily small.
It now follows from Theorem 2 that Neuber's solution (1.2) is not a general solution (and hence is not complete) in either $\Omega^{\infty}$ or $\Omega_{2}$.

Hence Neuber's solution (1.2) is not a general solution in an arbitrary unbounded domain $D^{\infty}$ exterior to a closed surface $S$, since $D^{\infty}$ always contains a subregion $\Omega^{\infty}$ to which Theorems 2 and 3 can be applied, with $\phi_{3}=1 / r$, by taking the origin of coordinates ( $r=0$ ) in the interior region bounded by the surface $S$.

Similarly, if a doubly connected region $D^{(2)}$ contains a region $\Omega_{2}$, Theoren 2 holds for $D^{(2)}$ as well, with $\phi_{3}=1 / r$; consequently (1.2) will not be a general solution for such a region. However, Neuber's solution (1.2) is a general solution for an arbitrary simply connected region $D_{1}$ bounded by a Liapunov surface $S_{1}$, that is, (1.10) holds for such a region.

We shall now determine the regions $D$ in which Neuber's solution is complete and those for which it is not, that is, we shall discuss the cases in which a solution of equations (1.3) exists and those in which it does not.

Almansi [ 20] proved that in order that a solution of the equations (1.3) may exist in a region $T$ for an arbitrary harmonic function $\phi_{3}$, it is sufficient that the region $T$ be bounded by a surface $S_{T}$ which intersects lines parallel to the $z$-axis in only two points. Tolotti [21] proved that this condition is also necessary.

We shall prove Tolotti's theorem (Theorem 4) for functions of the form (1.4).

Theorem 4. If a straight line parallel to the $z$-axis intersects the bounding surface $S$ of a three-dimensional region $D$ in more than two points, it is possible to construct a function $\phi_{3}$ harmonic in $D$ such that there is no function $\ell_{3}$ harmonic in $D$ which satisfies (1.3).

Proof. Suppose that a straight line parallel to the $z$-axis intersects the surface $S$ at points $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$. Choose the origin of coordinates at a point $O$ in the exterior of the region $D$ on the straight line $A_{1} A_{2} O A_{3} \ldots$, and let $\phi_{3}$ be a function of the form (1.4).

It is clear that the function

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{m=1,2, \ldots .}\left(c_{m}+c_{m}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial x^{m}} \ln (r+z)+\psi_{0}(x, y)
$$

is harmonic in an arbitrary open bounded region $V^{\prime}$ containing no points of the negative $z$-axis and satisfies (1.3) $\left(\psi_{0}(x, y)\right.$ is an arbitrary function of two variables harmonic in $V^{\prime}$ ). Because of the uniqueness of the analytic continuation of a harmonic function, we infer that $\psi / 3$ cannot be continued on all of $D$ and has singularities at the points on the negative $z$-axis. Hence there is no harmonic function $\psi_{3}$ satisfying (1.3) everywhere in $D$, where $D$ is a region of the indicated type and $\psi_{3}$, is an arbitrary harmonic function of the form (1.4).

It follows by Theorem 1 that Neuber's solution (1.2) is not complete, if a straight line parallel to the $z$-axis intersects the surface $S$ in more than two points.

However, there is an essential difference between a doubly connected (multiply connected) and a simply connected region of the form indicated (a line parallel to the $z$-axis intersects the surface $S$ in more than two points). If the region is simply connected, Neuber's solution (1.2) is a general solution; if the region is doubly (multiply) connected, (1.2) is not a general solution. In neither case is (1.2) complete.
2. Papkovich-Neuber's solution. We shall call the solution containing three harmonic functions obtained from (1.1) by eliminating $\phi_{0}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{B}-\gamma^{-1} \operatorname{grad}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Papkovich-Neuber's solution [2-5].
The problem of representing a displacement vector u satisfying the homogeneous equations of elasticity in a prescribed region $D$ in the form (2.1), is reducible to that of determining a function $\psi$ harmonic in $D$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \varphi=v \psi-r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}=\varphi_{0}, \quad \nabla^{2} \psi=0, \quad v=4(1-\sigma) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0}$ is an arbitrary function harmonic in $D$. In other words, if a function $\psi$ satisfying (2.2) in $D$ exists, then the solution (2.1) is complete in $D$.

Analogously, if there is a function $\psi^{*}$ hammic in an arbitrary closed region $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L \psi^{*}=\varphi_{0}^{*}, \quad \nabla^{2} \psi^{*}=\nabla^{2} \varphi_{0}{ }^{*}=0 & \text { in } D  \tag{2.3}\\
\operatorname{grad}\left|\varphi_{0}-\varphi_{0}{ }^{\circ}\right|<\varepsilon & \text { in } D^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, then (1.2) is a general solution in $D$.
It is known that there does not exist a harmonic function $\psi$ satisfying (2.2) in all of $D$ for an arbitrary region $D$ and an arbitrary harmonic function $\phi_{0}$. Moreover, the existence of $\psi_{0}$ depends on the choice of the origin of coordinates [6].

We shall prove a stronger theorem (important from the point of view of general solutions) which generalizes certain results of [6].

Theorem 5. Let $\Omega_{2}$ be the region included between two concentric spheres $S_{1}$ and $S_{0}$, with $S_{1}$ the smaller sphere. Then it is possible to construct a harmonic function $\phi_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(L[\psi]-\varphi_{0}\right)^{2} d \Omega_{2}>\varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon_{0}$ and arbitrary function $\psi$ harmonic in $\Omega_{2}$, if the origin of coordinates ( $x=y=z=0, r=0$ ) is in the exterior of the region $D_{1}$ interior to the sphere $S_{1}$.

An analogous theorem can be proved for the region $\Omega^{\infty}$ exterior to $S_{1}$, if the origin of coordinates is in $\Omega^{\infty}$.

Theorem 5 and (2.3) imply that if the origin of coordinates is chosen as indicated, then (2.1) is not a general solution and hence is not a complete solution in $\Omega_{2}$.

Hence it also follows that (2.1) is not a general solution for a bounded triply connected region bounded by three disjoint spheres $S_{0}, S_{1}$, $S_{2}$ or for the unbounded region $\Omega_{2}^{\infty}$ exterior to two disjoint spheres $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, if the origin of coordinates is chosen arbitrarily.

Proof. Set $\phi_{0}=\rho^{-1}$, where $\rho$ is the distance from points of $\Omega_{2}$ to the center $O$ of the spheres* (the function $\phi_{0}$ is harmonic in $\Omega_{2}$ ).

Denote the radii of $S_{1}$ and $S_{0}$ by $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{0}$ and put $\rho_{1}=1\left(\rho_{1}<\rho<\rho_{0}\right)$ for simplicity.

Take the origin of coordinates $P(r=0)$ at a distance $z_{0}$ from 0 on the $z$-axis in the direction of $O P$.

In addition to the coordinates $x, y, z$ introduce coordinates $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$, $z^{\prime}$ with origin $O$ and direct the $z^{\prime}$-axis in the direction of $O P$ and the $x^{\prime}$-axis parallel to the $x$-axis. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=x^{\prime}, \quad y=y^{\prime}, \quad z=z^{\prime}-z_{0} \\
& r^{2}=(P M)^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 3}+\left(z^{\prime}-z_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \rho^{2}=(O M)^{2}=x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M$ is an arbitrary point of $\Omega_{2}$.
Then (2.2) can be transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \psi=\nu \psi-\rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho}+z_{0} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z^{\prime}}=\varphi_{a} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of this choice of $x, y, z$ and $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}$, and the symmetry of the function $\phi_{0}=\rho^{-1}$ relative to the $z$-axis, it is' clearly enough to prove (2.4) on the assumption that the function $\psi$ of (2.4) is symmetric relative to the $z$ - and $z^{\prime \prime}$-axes.

Furthermore, it is known that every function $\psi$ harmonic in $\Omega_{2}$ and symmetric with respect to the $z$-axis can be expanded in the interior of $\Omega_{2}$ into a uniformly convergent series (1.6), with $r$ replaced by $\rho$.

Consider the partial sum $\psi_{n}$ (harmonic in $\Omega_{2}$ ) of the series (1.6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[a_{k} \rho^{k}+b_{k} p^{-(k+1)]} P_{k}(\cos \theta)\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where $\rho, \theta$ are spherical coordinates and $P_{k}(\cos \theta)$ is a Legendre polynomial.

From (2.5)-(2.6) and (1.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L\left[\psi_{n}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\left\{1(v-k) a_{k}+z_{0}(k+1) a_{k+1}\right] \rho^{k}+\left[(v+k+1) b_{k}-z_{0} k b_{k-1}\right] \rho^{-(k+1)}\right\} P_{k}(\cos \theta) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
a_{n+2}=a_{n+1}=b_{n+1}=b_{-1}=0
$$

We introduce new variables $a_{k}^{\prime}$ and $b_{k}{ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (v-k) a_{k}^{\prime}+z_{0}(k+1) a_{k+1}=a_{k}^{\prime}  \tag{2.8}\\
& (v+k+1) b_{k}-z_{0} k b_{k-1}=b_{k}^{\prime}
\end{align*} \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)
$$

It is not hard to see that if $\nu=4(1-\sigma)$ is not an integer (which is true for $\sigma \neq 1 / 4$ ), the constants $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ are uniquely determined by the corresponding variables $a_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ and $b_{k}{ }^{\prime}$.

From the second system of (2.8) (since $b_{-1}=b_{n+1}=0$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{n}=\frac{1}{\mu_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\mu_{k}}{v+k+1} b_{k^{\prime}}, \quad \frac{\partial b_{n}}{\partial b_{k}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\mu_{n}} \frac{\mu_{k}}{v+k+1} \\
\mu_{k}=a_{0} \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{k}, \quad \mu_{n}=a_{0} \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}  \tag{2.9}\\
\alpha_{0}=v+1 . \quad \underline{\alpha}_{k}=\frac{v+k+1}{k} \frac{1}{z_{0}} \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
L\left[\psi_{n}\right]-\varphi_{0}=L\left[\psi_{n}\right]-\rho^{-1}= \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[a_{k}^{\prime} \rho^{k}+b_{k}^{\prime} \rho^{-(k+1)}\right] P_{k}(\cos \theta)+(n+1) z_{0} b_{n} \rho^{-(n+2)} P_{n+1}(\cos 0)-\rho^{-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, substituting (2.10) into the left side of (2.4) and using the orthogonality of Legendre polynomials, we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Omega_{2}}^{\infty}\left\{L\left[\psi_{n}\right]-\rho^{-1}\right\}^{2} d \Omega_{z}- \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[a_{k} a_{k}^{\prime 2}+2 \gamma_{k} a_{k}^{\prime} b_{k}^{\prime}+\beta_{k} b_{k}^{\prime 2}\right]+B_{n} b_{n}^{2}-2\left(\gamma_{0} a_{0}^{\prime}+\beta_{0} b_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\beta_{01} \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{k}=[(2 k+1)(2 k+3)]^{-1}\left(\rho_{0}{ }^{2 k+3}-1\right), & \gamma_{k}=[2(2 k+1)]^{-1}\left(\rho_{0}{ }^{2}-1\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
\beta_{k}=[(2 k+1)(2 k-1)]^{-1}\left(1-\rho_{0}-{ }^{-2 k+1}\right), & B_{n}=(n+1)^{2} z_{0}{ }^{2} \beta_{n+1}
\end{array}
$$

We shall find the minimum value of $\Phi$, which can be written in the form $\Phi=\Phi_{2}+\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{0}$, where $\Phi_{2}$ is a quadratic form, $\Phi_{1}$ is a linear form in the variables $a_{k}, b_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\Phi_{0}=\beta_{0}$ is an independent term.

From (2.11) and (2.9) we obtain the following system of equations for determining the coefficients $a_{k}^{\prime}, b_{k}^{\prime}$ which yield the minimum value of $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial a_{k}^{\prime}}=\alpha_{k} a_{k}^{\prime}+\gamma_{k} b_{k}^{\prime}=f_{k}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial a_{k}^{\prime}}  \tag{2.13}\\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial b_{k}^{\prime}}=\gamma_{k} a_{k}^{\prime}+\beta_{k} b_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{B_{n} \mu_{k}}{(v+k+1) \mu_{n}} b_{n}=g_{k}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial b_{k}^{\prime}} \\
f_{k}=g_{k}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, n) \\
f_{0}=\gamma_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}-1\right), \quad g 0=\beta_{0}=p_{0}-1
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, it is obvious that

$$
\Phi=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial a_{k}^{\prime}} a_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial b_{k}^{\prime}} b_{k}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial a_{k}^{\prime}} a_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial b_{k}^{\prime}} b_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]+\beta_{0}
$$

Hence, because of (2.13) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\min }=\beta_{0}-\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(f_{k} a_{k}^{\prime} \mid g_{k} b_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{0}-\left(\gamma_{0} a_{0}^{\prime}+\beta_{0} b_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{0}}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right) \\
& \Delta_{0}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0} & \gamma_{0} \\
\gamma_{0} & \beta_{0}
\end{array}\right|=\alpha_{0} \beta_{0}-\gamma_{0}{ }^{2}, \quad a_{0}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\alpha_{0}} b_{0}{ }^{\prime} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By eliminating unknowns, we find from (2.13)

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{B_{n} \mu_{k}}{\mu_{n}(v+k+1)} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{\Delta_{k}} b_{n}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, n) \\
& b_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{B_{n} \mu_{0}}{\mu_{n}(v+1)} \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\Delta_{0}} b_{n}=1  \tag{2.15}\\
& \Delta_{k}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{k} & \gamma_{k} \\
\gamma_{k} & \beta_{k}
\end{array}\right|=\alpha_{k} \beta_{k}-\gamma_{k}^{2} \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, n)
\end{align*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{v+1}{v+k+1} \frac{\mu_{k}}{\mu_{0}} \frac{a_{k}}{\alpha_{0}} \frac{\Delta_{0}}{\Delta_{k}}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.16) into the second equation of (2.15) and using the expression for $b_{n}$ from (2.9), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left[1+\frac{B_{n}}{\mu_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\mu_{k}^{2} \alpha_{k}}{(v+k+1)^{2} \Delta_{k}}\right]=\frac{B_{n}}{\mu_{n}^{2}} \frac{\mu_{0}^{2}}{(v+1)^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\Delta_{0}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (2.14) and (2.17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\min }=(v+1)^{-2}\left[\frac{1}{B_{n}} \frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{0}}+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{\mu_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{a_{k}}{(v+k+1)^{2} \Delta_{k}}\right]^{-1} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall investigate the expression (2.18).
We note first that $\Phi_{\text {min }}<\beta_{0}=\rho_{0}-1$, since putting $a_{k}{ }^{\prime}=b_{k}{ }^{\prime}=0$ in (2.11) yields $b_{n}=0$ by (2.9), and consequently $\Phi_{m i n}<\beta_{0}$.

Hence the expression in square brackets in (2.18) is greater than a certain constant.

Furthermore, by (2.12)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{(v+k+1)^{2}} \frac{a_{k}}{\Delta_{k}}= \frac{(2 k+1)(2 k-1)}{(v+k+1)^{2}}\left[1-\rho_{0}^{-2 k+1}-\frac{2 k-1}{4(2 k+3)} \frac{\left(\rho_{0}^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{\rho_{0}^{2 k+3}-1}\right]^{-1} \\
& B_{n}=\frac{(n+1)^{2} z_{0}^{2}}{(2 n+1)(2 n-1)}\left(1-\rho_{0}^{-2 k+1}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\frac{1}{(v+k+1)^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{\Delta_{k}} \rightarrow 4, \quad B_{n} \rightarrow \frac{z_{0}^{2}}{4}
$$

for $\rho_{\infty}>1$ and $k \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$.
From (2.9) we also find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu_{k}}{\mu_{0}}=z_{0}^{-k} \prod_{s=1}^{k} \frac{v^{v}+s+1}{s} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{0}<1$, i.e. suppose that the origin of coordinates $P$ is in the interior of the region $D_{1}$ bounded by the sphere $S_{1}$ of radius $\rho_{1}=1$. Then it is obvious from (2.18)-(2.20) that the expression in square brackets in (2.18) can be made greater than an arbitrary positive number $N$ by choosing a sufficiently large value of $n$; consequently, for sufficiently large $n$, $\Phi_{m i n}$ will be arbitrarily small.

In other words, the series in the square brackets in (2.18) diverges for $z_{0}<1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $\Phi_{\text {min }} \rightarrow 0$.

Now, suppose that $z_{0}>1$, i.e. the origin of coordinates $P$ is in the exterior of $D_{1}$; hence $P$ is either in $\Omega_{2}$ or in the exterior of the region $D_{0}$ bounded by the sphere $S_{0}$ of radius $\rho_{0}$.

Then it follows from (2.20) that the ratio $\mu_{n} / \mu_{0}$ of (2.18) can be made less than an arbitrarily small $\epsilon>0$ for sufficiently large $n$.

$$
\frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{0}}=z^{-n} \prod_{s=1}^{n} \frac{v+s+1}{s}<\left[\left(\frac{v+1}{s_{0}}+1\right) z_{0}^{-1}\right]^{n-s_{i}} \prod_{s=1}^{s_{0}} \frac{v+s+1}{s}
$$

which can be made arbitrarily small for $\left((\nu+1) / s_{0}+1\right) z_{0}^{-1}<1$ and sufficiently large $n$.

Furthermore, it is not hard to prove, by means of d'Alembert's criterion, that the series in square brackets in (2.18) is absolutely convergent for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, we have, by (2.20)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}}\right|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left(\frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_{n}}\right)^{2} \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \Delta_{n}}{\alpha_{n} \Delta_{n+1}}\left(\frac{v+n+1}{v+n+2}\right)^{2} z_{0}-^{-2}\right|= \\
=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{n}{n+1} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\Delta_{n+1}}, \frac{\Delta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} z_{0}-2\right|=z_{0}-2<1
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, the expression in square brackets in (2.18) is bounded from above by a number $N_{0}$ independent of $n$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\min }>\frac{1}{(v+1)^{2} N_{0}}=\varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof.
Hence (2.4) holds for $z_{0}>1$. The inequality (2.4) can be proved in the same way for the region $\Omega^{\infty}$ exterior to the sphere $S_{1}$, if the origin of coordinates is in $\Omega^{\infty}$. To do so, it is enough to put $a_{k}=0, k=0$, $1, \ldots$ in (2.6)-(2.20). It follows from Theorem 5 that if two spherical three-dimensional regions are removed from bounded or unbounded region, (2.4) holds for arbitrary choice of the origin of coordinates and therefore (2.1) is not a general solution for the resulting regions.

Furthermore, if two three-dimensional regions bounded by closed surfaces $S_{1}^{\prime}$. and $S_{2}^{\prime}$ (having no points in common with each other or with the bounding surface $S_{0}$ of $D_{0}$ ) and lying in the interior of the mentally excluded spherical cavities (three-dimensional regions) bounded by spherical surfaces $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are removed from either a bounded or unbounded region $D$, then (2.4) holds for the resulting regions for arbitrary choice of the origin of coordinates; consequently, (2.1) is not a general solution for these regions no matter where the origin of coordinates is chosen.

We shall now determine the domains for which Papkovich-Neuber's solution (2.1) is complete, and those for which it is not.
E. Trefftz [4] considered the system (2.2) for various coefficients $\nu$ and wrote the solution of these equations in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi--r^{\nu} \int r^{-(\nu+1)} \varphi_{0} d r+C r^{\nu} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant of integration depending on the direction of the radius $r$. He noted that if the lower limit of integration in (2.22) is taken as $r=0$ (the origin of coordinates lies in the interior of the region and if $C$ is made equal to 0 , then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=-r^{\nu} \int_{0}^{r} r^{-(\nu+1)} \varphi_{0} d r \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is harmonic and satisfies the equations (2.2) for $\nu<0$ (see also Bergman [15]).

Eubanks and Sternberg [7] proved that the function (2.23) is harmonic for $\nu>0$ (except for the values $\nu=3, \sigma=1 / 4$ ) in a region which is a star relative to the origin of coordinates; that is, a region with the property that any ray from the origin drawn in the interior of the region intersects the bounding surface of the region at precisely one point. Since the integral in (2.23) is improper for $\nu>0$ (if the origin is in the interior of the region), Eubanks and Sternberg [7], in proving their theorem, neglected the first few terms of the series expansion of $\phi_{0}$ about the origin in terms of spherical functions.

We shall prove first that if a ray $O N$, where $O$ is the origin, intersects the bounding surface $S_{R}$ of a region $R$ in no more than two points, then the function (2.22) is harmonic for the corresponding value of the constant $C$. We shall also prove that if the ray $O N$ intersects the surface $S_{R}$, in more than two points, there cannot exist a harmonic function $\psi$ satisfying (2:2) in the region $R^{\prime}$ for some choice of the harmonic function $\phi_{0}$. It will then follow that (2.1) is a complete solution for $R$. but not for $R^{\prime}$.

Let the origin be exterior to the region $R$ and suppose that the ray $O N$ intersects the surface $S$ of $A$ at $A_{1}, A_{2}$. We consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=-r^{v} \int_{r_{1}}^{r} r^{-(v+1)} \varphi_{0} d r+C r^{v} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C$ depends only on the spherical coordinates $\theta, \phi$. We write the Laplace equation in spherical coordinates
where $\nabla \theta, \phi^{2}$ is a differential operator depending only on the spherical
coordinates $\theta$, $\phi$. Furthermore, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r^{2}} \nabla_{\theta, \varphi^{2}}\left[r^{\nu} \int_{r_{1}}^{r} r^{-(v+1)} \varphi_{0} d r\right]=-r^{v-2} \int_{r_{1}}^{r} r^{-(v+1)}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial r}\right) d r= \\
& \quad=r^{v-2}\left\{\left[-(v+1) r^{-v} \varphi_{0}-r^{-v+1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial r}\right]_{r_{1}}^{r}-v(v+1) \int_{r_{1}}^{r} r^{-(v+1)} \varphi_{0} d r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain from (2.24):

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2} \psi & =r^{\nu-2}\left\{\nabla_{\theta, \varphi}^{2} C+\nu(\nu+1) C-\theta_{0}\right\}  \tag{2,25}\\
\theta_{0} & =r_{1}^{-\nu}\left[(\nu+1) \varphi_{0}+r_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{d r}\right]_{r=r_{1}} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from (2.26) that a necessary condition for the function $\psi$ to be harmonic in the region $R$ is that the function $C=C(\theta, \phi)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{0 . \varphi}{ }^{2} C+v(v+1) C=\theta_{0}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vekua [19] devised a method for constructing solutions of (2.27) by means of complex functions.

The case when $\theta \rightarrow \pi / 2$ requires additional investigation.
This case occurs if, for instance, $A$ is a doubly connected finite three-dimensional region bounded by two closed surfaces $S_{1 R}$ and $S_{2 R}$, where $S_{1 R}$ is contained in the interior of $S_{2 R}$ and the origin $O$ is in the interior of $S_{1 R}$, and the ray $O N$ intersects each of the surfaces $S_{1 R}, S_{2 R}$ at precisely one point.

However, the problem of constructing a function $\psi$ harmonic in this doubly connected domain is easily reduced to two simpler problems.

Let

$$
\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{01}+\varphi_{02}, \quad \psi=\psi_{1}+\psi_{3}
$$

where $\phi_{01}, t_{1}$ are functions harmonic in the region $R_{1}^{\infty}$ exterior to the surface $S_{1 R}$ and $\phi_{02}, \psi_{2}$ are functions harmonic in the region $R_{2}$ interior to the surface $S_{2 R^{*}}$ If there exist functions $\psi_{1}^{\prime}, \psi_{2}$ satisfying (2.2), with $\phi_{01}, \phi_{02}$ appearing on the right-hand side of (2.2) for the regions $R_{1}{ }^{\infty}, A_{2}$, respectively, then there certainly exists a function $\psi$ satisfying (2.2). The existence of the function $V_{1}$ follows immediately from the fact that the function (2.23), with $\phi_{0}$ replaced by $\phi_{01}$ and $\psi$ by $\psi_{1}$. satisfies equation (2.2), except for $\nu=3(\sigma=1 / 4)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}=-r^{*} \int_{\infty}^{\Gamma} r^{-(\nu+1)} \varphi_{02} d r \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in analogy with (2.23), it is immediately verified that $\psi_{2}$ is a harmonic function. This also follows from (2.26), since for $r_{1}=\infty, C=0$ and V ${ }^{2} \psi_{2}=0$.

Hence (2.1) is a complete solution for the region $R$ if the origin is chosen as indicated.

We shall now prove that if the ray $O N$ intersects the surface $S_{R^{\prime}}$ of the region $R^{\prime}$ in more than two points, then there cannot exist a harmonic function $\psi / r$ satisfying (2.2).

Indeed, suppose the origin $O(r=0)$ is in the interior of the region and that the ray $O N$ intersects the surface $S_{R^{\prime}}$ at points $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$.

Let $O_{1}$ be a point of the segment $A_{1} A_{2}$ in the interior of $R^{\prime}$.
Set $\phi_{0}=-1 / \rho$ in (2.2), where $\rho$ is the distance from $0_{1}$ to an arbitrary point $M$ of the region $R^{\prime}$. $\left(r=O M, \rho=O_{1} M\right)$. Since the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}=r^{\nu} \int_{0}^{r} r^{-(\nu+1)} \frac{1}{\rho} d r \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is harmonic in the interior of the open region $V^{\prime}$ obtained hy deleting the points of the half-line $O_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \ldots$ from an arbitrary bounded region $V$ and furthermore, satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \psi^{\prime}-r \frac{\partial \psi^{\prime}}{\partial r}=\varphi_{0}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $V^{\prime}$, it is harmonic in the open region $R^{\prime \prime}$ obtained from $R^{\prime}$ by deleting the points of the half-line $O_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \ldots$.

Furthermore, since the function (2.29) is (for $\sigma \neq 1 / 4$ ) the only harmonic function satisfying (2.2) in a neighborhood of the origin 0 , it follows from the uniqueness of the analytic continuation of a harmonic function that the function $\psi^{\prime}$ defined by (2.29) is the analytic continuation of the harmonic function satisfying (2.30) in a neighborhood of $O$ to all of the region $R^{*}$ :

We shall show that $\psi^{\prime}$ is arbitrarily large in a neighborhood of the line $O_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \ldots$. In fact, putting

$$
O O_{1}=r_{0}, \quad r>r_{0}, \quad v=4(1-\sigma), \quad 0<\sigma<\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(\sigma \neq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi^{\prime}(r, \theta)=r^{\nu} \int_{0}^{r} r^{-(v+1)} \frac{1}{\rho} d r=r^{\nu} \int_{0}^{r} r^{-(v+1)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-2 r r_{0} \cos \theta+r_{0}^{2}}}> \\
>r^{\nu} r^{-(v+1)} \int_{r_{0} \cos \theta}^{r} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{\left(r-r_{0} \cos \theta\right)^{2}+\left(r_{0} \sin \theta\right)^{2}}}= \\
=\frac{1}{r} \ln \left[\left(r-r_{0} \cos \theta\right)+\sqrt{\left(r-r_{0} \cos \theta\right)^{2}+\left(r_{0} \sin \theta\right)^{2}}\right]_{r_{0} \cos \theta}^{r}= \\
=\frac{1}{r}\left[\ln \left(r-r_{0} \cos \theta+\rho\right)-\ln \left(r_{0} \sin \theta\right)\right] \tag{2.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $r, \theta$ are spherical coordinates.
It is obvious that the right side of (2.31) is arbitrarily large as $\theta \rightarrow 0$.

It follows that there is no function $\psi$ harmonic in all of $R^{\prime}$ and satisfying (2,30).

Hence, (2.1) is not a complete solution for $R^{\prime}$ if the origin $O$ is in the interior of $R^{\prime}$.

Now suppose that $O$ is outside the region $R^{\prime}$ and that a ray $O N$ intersects the surface $S_{R^{\prime}}$ at points $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, \ldots$. Choose a point $O_{1}$ on the segment $A_{2} A_{3}$ outside the region $R^{\prime \prime}$ and as above write $\phi_{0}=-1 / \rho$, where $\rho=O_{1} M, r=O M$.

By adding to the right side of (2.29) an expression of the form $\mathrm{Cr}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. where $C$ is a function depending only on the spherical coordinates $\theta$ and $\dot{\phi}$, we obtain the general solution of (2.30). Since the function $C r^{\nu}$ must be harmonic in the neighborhood of the line $A_{1} A_{2}$, it will also be harmonic in the neighborhood of the line $O_{1} A_{3} A_{4} \ldots$. Hence the function $\psi^{\prime}+C r^{\nu}$ will be arbitrarily large in the neighborhood of the line $O_{1} A_{3} A_{4} \ldots$.

It follows that there is no harmonic function in $R^{\prime}$ satisfying (2.2), and so (2.1) is not a complete solution for $R^{\prime}$ either in this case or in the case when the origin is in $R^{\prime}$, as long as the ray $O N$ intersects the surface $S_{R^{\prime}}$ in more than two points.

However, there is an essential difference between the simply connected and multiply connected regions of the form $R^{\prime}$. as far as general solutions are concerned. If $R^{\prime}$ is a simply connected region bounded by a closed Liapunov surface $S$, (2.3) holds, 1.e. (2.1) is a general solution; equation (2.3) does not hold particularly in the case of multiply connected regions (of the form $R^{\prime}$ ) for an arbitrary harmonic function $\phi_{0}$ anc (2.1) is not a general solution.
3. General and complete solutions for multiply connected regions. Suppose that a bounded multiply connected region $D$ is bounded by a closed Liapunov surface $S_{0}$ and closed surfaces $S_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$, the latter lying in the region $D_{0}$ inside $S_{0}$ and having no points in common with each other or with $S_{0}$.

It was shown in [6] that if an arbitrary harmonic vector $\mathbf{B}$ is represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{B}_{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ is a harmonic vector in $D_{0}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ a harmonic vector in the region $D_{i}^{\infty}$ exterior to the surface $S_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{u}_{i}  \tag{3.2}\\
\mathbf{u}_{i}=\mathbf{B}_{i}-v^{-1} \operatorname{grad}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{i}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, k)  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathbf{u}_{0}=\mathbf{B}_{0}-v^{-1} \operatorname{grad}\left(\mathbf{r}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{0}\right), \quad \quad 0 \neq \frac{1}{4} \\
{r_{i}}^{2}=\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

will be a general solution in $D$. In the formulas (3.2), (3.3), the point $O_{i}$ with coordinates $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}$ is in the region $D_{i}$ bounded by the surface $S_{i}$, and $x, y, z$ are the coordinates of an arbitrary point of $D$. The vector $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ can also be written in Neuber's form (1.2) or in any of the other forms which are general for the region $D_{0}([6], 7)$.

If the surface $S_{0}$ is absent, then $D$ is an unbounded multiply connected region and we must put $\mathbf{B}_{0}=0, \mathbf{u}_{0}=0$ in (3.1)-(3.3).

From the proof of the generality of the solution (3.1)-(3.3) given in [6], it immediately follows that if the regions $D_{i}$ are solid spheres and $S_{0}$ is a sphere, then (3.1)-(3.3) is also a complete solution for this region.

To show this we can take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the expansions in terms of spherical polynomials of the formulas (3.1)-(3.11) and others of [6], since the series of spherical polynomials converge uni formly in the corresponding regions.

It follows further that (3.2)-(3.3) is a conplete solution, if each solution (3.2) is complete for the corresponding simply connected region (the bounded region $D_{0}$ or the unbounded region $D_{i}^{\infty}$ ). The last case occurs if, for instance, a ray $O_{i} N$ intersects the surface $S_{i}$ at a single point, but a ray $O_{0} N$ in no more than two points (because of the restrictions in

Section 2). If the ray $O_{0} N$ intersects the surface $S$ in more than two points and the ray $O_{i} N$ intersects $S_{i}$ in more than one point (and hence in more than two points, since $O_{i}$ is in $D_{i}$ ), then (3.2)-(3.3) is not complete for this region, according to Section 2.
4. Papkovich-Neuber's solution for $\sigma=1 / 4$. First, it is easy to prove that if the origin is in the region and $\sigma=1 / 4$, then (2.1) is not only incomplete but also not general.

Suppose, for instance, that $\Omega$ is a solid sphere in $D$ and that the origin is the center of the sphere. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho^{n} Y_{n}, \quad \varphi_{0}=\rho^{3} Y_{3^{\prime}}, \quad \sigma=\frac{1}{4}, v=4(1-\sigma)=3 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (2.2) and (2.4), where $Y_{n}$ is the spherical function of order $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\int_{\Omega}\left[L(\psi)-\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} d \Omega=\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(3-n) \rho^{n} Y_{n}-\rho^{3} Y_{3}\right]^{\prime}\right]^{2} d \Omega= \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left[(3-n) \rho^{n} Y_{n}\right]^{2} d \Omega+\varepsilon_{0} \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \varepsilon_{0}=\int_{\Omega}\left[\rho^{3} Y_{3}\right]^{2} d \Omega \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4.2) that (2.1) is not a general solution for $\sigma=1 / 4$ if the origin is in $D$. Strangely enough, however, if the origin is outside the sphere $\Omega$, then (2.1) is a general and complete solution for $\Omega$ and for arbitrary $0<\sigma<1 / 2$. This follows immediately from (2.24)-(2.29).

We consider the interesting special case, $\phi_{0}=r^{3} Y_{3}^{\prime}=r^{3} P_{3}(\cos \theta)$, where $r$ is the distance from $P$ to a point $M$ of $\Omega$ and the positive $z$-axis is directed by $P O$ ( $O$ is the center of the sphere). In this case, a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \psi-r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}=\varphi_{0}=r^{\nu} P_{v} . \quad \nu=3 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{V}$ is the generalized Legendre function, is immediately found without having recourse to (2.24)-(2.29). The solution, due to Bromwich [22]. is

$$
\psi=\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(r^{\nu} P_{v}\right)
$$

For $\nu=3$ this function has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=r^{3} \ln \frac{r+z}{2} P_{8}(\cos \theta)-2 r^{3}\left\{\frac{5}{6} P_{2}(\cos \theta)-\frac{3}{10} P_{1}(\cos \theta)+\frac{1}{12}\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily and immediately verified that (4.3) satisfies (2.2) for
$\nu=3$ and is harmonic in $\Omega$ (that is, for $z>0$ ).
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[^0]:    * In [6] the term "general solution" is also used instead of complete solution". It is clear from the context and the proofs of theorems, however, which meaning is to be ascribed to the term "general solution' in $[6]$.

[^1]:    * The function (1.4) can be used instead of this function.

[^2]:    * A function of the form (1.4) can be substituted for the function $\phi_{0}=\rho^{-1}$.

